

Anisotropic Black Holes in Einstein and Brane Gravity

Sergiu I. Vacaru¹ and Evghenii Gaburov²

¹ *Physics Department, CSU Fresno, Fresno, CA 93740-8031, USA,
and*

*Centro Multidisciplinar de Astrofísica - CENTRA, Departamento de Física,
Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, Lisboa, 1049-001, Portugal
sergiuvacaru@venus.nipne.ro, sergiu_vacaru@yahoo.com*

² *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University
of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
eg35@leicester.ac.uk*

We consider exact solutions of Einstein equations defining static black holes parametrized by off-diagonal metrics which by anholonomic mappings can be equivalently transformed into some diagonal metrics with coefficients being very similar to those from the Schwarzschild and/or Reissner-Nördstrom solutions with anisotropic renormalizations of constants. We emphasize that such classes of solutions, for instance, with ellipsoidal symmetry of horizons, can be constructed even in general relativity theory if off-diagonal metrics and anholonomic frames are introduced into considerations. Such solutions do not violate the Israel's uniqueness theorems on static black hole configurations [1] because at long radial distances one holds the usual Schwarzschild limit. We show that anisotropic deformations of the Reissner-Nördstrom metric can be an exact solution on the brane, re-interpreted as a black hole with an effective electromagnetic like charge anisotropically induced and polarized by higher dimension gravitational interactions.

The idea of extra-dimension is gone through a renewal in connection to string/M-theory [2] which in low energy limits results in models of brane gravity and/or high energy physics. It was proven that the matter fields could be localized on a 3-brane in $1 + 3 + n$ dimensions, while gravity can propagate in the n extra dimensions which can be large (see, e. g., [3]) and even not compact, as in the 5-dimensional (in brief, 5D) warped space models of Randall and Sundrum [4] (in brief RS, see also early versions [5]).

The bulk of solutions of 5D Einstein equations and their reductions to 4D were constructed by using static diagonal metrics and extensions to solutions with rotations given with respect to holonomic coordinate frames of references. On the other hand much attention has been paid to off-diagonal metrics in higher dimensional gravity beginning the Salam, Strathee and Petracci work [6] which proved that including off-diagonal components in higher dimensional metrics is equivalent to including of $U(1)$, $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ gauge fields. Recently, it was shown in Ref. [7] that if we consider off-diagonal metrics which can be equivalently diagonalized to some corresponding anholonomic frames, the RS theories become substantially locally anisotropic with variations of constants on extra dimension coordinate or with anisotropic angular polarizations of effective 4D constants, induced by higher dimension gravitational interactions.

If matter on a such anisotropic 3D branes collapses under gravity without rotating to form a black hole, then the metric on the brane-world should be close to some anisotropic deformations of the Schwarzschild metric at astrophysical scales in order to preserve the observationally tested predictions of general relativity. We emphasize that it is possible to construct anisotropic deformations of spherical symmetric black hole solutions to some static configurations with ellipsoidal or toroidal horizons even in the framework of 4D and in 5D Einstein theory if off-diagonal metrics and associated anholonomic frames and nonlinear connections are introduced into consideration [8].

Collapse to locally isotropic black holes in the Randall-Sundrum brane-world scenario was studied by Chamblin et al. [11] (see also [12,14] and a review on the subject [13]). The item of definition of black hole solutions have to be reconsidered if we are dealing with off-diagonal metrics, anholonomic frames both in general relativity and on anisotropic branes.

In this Letter, we give four classes of exact black hole solutions which describes ellipsoidal static deformations with anisotropic polarizations and running of constants of the Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nördstrom solutions. We analyze the conditions when such type anisotropic solutions defined on 3D branes have their analogous in general relativity.

The 5D pseudo-Riemannian spacetime is provided with local coordinates $u^\alpha = (x^i, y^a) = (x^1 = f, x^2, x^3, y^4 = s, y^5 = p)$, where f is the extra dimension coordinate, (x^2, x^3) are some space coordinates and $(s = \varphi, p = t)$ (or inversely, $(s = t, p = \varphi)$) are correspondingly some angular and time like coordinates (or inversely). We suppose that indices run corresponding values: $i, j, k, \dots = 1, 2, 3$ and $a, b, c, \dots = 4, 5$. The local coordinate bases $\partial_\alpha = (\partial_i, \partial_a)$, and

their duals, $d^\alpha = (d^i, d^a)$, are defined respectively as

$$\partial_\alpha \equiv \frac{\partial}{du^\alpha} = (\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{dx^i}, \partial_a = \frac{\partial}{dy^a}) \text{ and } d^\alpha \equiv du^\alpha = (d^i = dx^i, d^a = dy^a). \quad (1)$$

For the 5D line element $dl^2 = G_{\alpha\beta} du^\alpha du^\beta$ we choose the metric coefficients $G_{\alpha\beta}$ (with respect to the coordinate frame (1)) to be parametrized by a off-diagonal matrix (ansatz)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + w_1^2 h_4 + n_1^2 h_5 & w_1 w_2 h_4 + n_1 n_2 h_5 & w_1 w_3 h_4 + n_1 n_3 h_5 & w_1 h_4 & n_1 h_5 \\ w_1 w_2 h_4 + n_1 n_2 h_5 & g_2 + w_2^2 h_4 + n_2^2 h_5 & w_2 w_3 h_4 + n_2 n_3 h_5 & w_2 h_4 & n_2 h_5 \\ w_1 w_3 h_4 + n_1 n_3 h_5 & w_3 w_2 h_4 + n_2 n_3 h_5 & g_3 + w_3^2 h_4 + n_3^2 h_5 & w_3 h_4 & n_3 h_5 \\ w_1 h_4 & w_2 h_4 & w_3 h_4 & h_4 & 0 \\ n_1 h_5 & n_2 h_5 & n_3 h_5 & 0 & h_5 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where the coefficients are some necessary smoothly class functions of type:

$$g_{2,3} = g_{2,3}(x^2, x^3), h_{4,5} = h_{4,5}(x^1, x^2, x^3, s), w_i = w_i(x^1, x^2, x^3, s), n_i = n_i(x^1, x^2, x^3, s).$$

The line element (2) can be equivalently rewritten in the form

$$\delta l^2 = g_{ij}(x^2, x^3) dx^i dx^j + h_{ab}(x^1, x^2, x^3, s) \delta y^a \delta y^b, \quad (3)$$

with diagonal coefficients $g_{ij} = \text{diag}[1, g_2, g_3]$ and $h_{ab} = \text{diag}[h_4, h_5]$ if instead the coordinate bases (1) one introduce the anholonomic frames (anisotropic bases)

$$\delta_\alpha \equiv \frac{\delta}{du^\alpha} = (\delta_i = \partial_i - N_i^b(u) \partial_b, \partial_a = \frac{\partial}{dy^a}) \text{ and } \delta^\alpha \equiv \delta u^\alpha = (\delta^i = dx^i, \delta^a = dy^a + N_k^a(u) dx^k) \quad (4)$$

where the N -coefficients are parametrized $N_i^4 = w_i$ and $N_i^5 = n_i$ (on anholonomic frame method see details in [7]).

The nontrivial components of the 5D vacuum Einstein equations, $R_\alpha^\beta = 0$, for the ansatz (3) given with respect to anholonomic frames (4) are

$$R_2^2 = R_3^3 = -\frac{1}{2g_2 g_3} [g_3^{\bullet\bullet} - \frac{g_2^\bullet g_3^\bullet}{2g_2} - \frac{(g_3^\bullet)^2}{2g_3} + g_2'' - \frac{g_2' g_3'}{2g_3} - \frac{(g_2')^2}{2g_2}] = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$R_4^4 = R_5^5 = -\frac{\beta}{2h_4 h_5} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$R_{4i} = -w_i \frac{\beta}{2h_5} - \frac{\alpha_i}{2h_5} = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$R_{5i} = -\frac{h_5}{2h_4} [n_i^{**} + \gamma n_i^*] = 0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \partial_i h_5^* - h_5^* \partial_i \ln \sqrt{|h_4 h_5|}, \beta = h_5^{**} - h_5^* [\ln \sqrt{|h_4 h_5|}]^*, \gamma = (3h_5/2h_4) - h_4^*/h_4,$$

the partial derivatives are denoted like $\hat{a} = \partial a / \partial x^1, h^\bullet = \partial h / \partial x^2, f' = \partial f / \partial x^2$ and $f^* = \partial f / \partial s$.

The system of second order nonlinear partial equations (5)–(8) can be solved in general form:

The equation (5) relates two functions $g_2(x^2, x^3)$ and $g_3(x^2, x^3)$. It is solved, for instance, by arbitrary two functions $g_2(x^2)$ and $g_3(x^3)$, or by $g_2 = g_3 = g_{[0]} \exp[a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3]$, where $g_{[0]}, a_2$ and a_3 are some constants. For a given parametrization of $g_2 = b_2(x^2) c_2(x^3)$ we can find a decomposition in series for $g_3 = b_3(x^2) c_3(x^3)$ (in the inverse case a multiple parametrization is given for g_3 and we try to find g_2); for simplicity we omit such cumbersome formulas. We emphasize that we can always redefine the variables (x^2, x^3) , or (equivalently) we can perform a 2D conformal transform to the flat 2D line element

$$g_2(x^2, x^3)(dx^2)^2 + g_3(x^2, x^3)(dx^3)^2 \rightarrow (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2,$$

for which the solution of (5) becomes trivial.

The next step is to find solutions of the equation (6) which relates two functions $h_4(x^i, s)$ and $h_5(x^i, s)$. This equation is satisfied by arbitrary pairs of coefficients $h_4(x^i, s)$ and $h_{5[0]}(x^i)$. If dependencies of h_5 on anisotropic variable s are considered, there are two possibilities:

a) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{|h_5|} &= h_{5[1]}(x^i) + h_{5[2]}(x^i) \int \sqrt{|h_4(x^i, s)|} ds, \quad h_4^*(x^i, s) \neq 0; \\ &= h_{5[1]}(x^i) + h_{5[2]}(x^i) s, \quad h_4^*(x^i, s) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for some functions $h_{5[1,2]}(x^i)$ stated by boundary conditions;

b) or, inversely, to compute h_4 for a given $h_5(x^i, s)$, $h_5^* \neq 0$,

$$\sqrt{|h_4|} = h_{[0]}(x^i) (\sqrt{|h_5(x^i, s)|})^*, \quad (9)$$

with $h_{[0]}(x^i)$ given by boundary conditions.

Having the values of functions h_4 and h_5 , we can define the coefficients $w_i(x^i, s)$ and $n_i(x^i, s)$:

The exact solutions of (7) is found by solving linear algebraic equation on w_k ,

$$w_k = \partial_k \ln[\sqrt{|h_4 h_5|}/|h_5^*|]/\partial_s \ln[\sqrt{|h_4 h_5|}/|h_5^*|], \quad (10)$$

for $\partial_s = \partial/\partial s$ and $h_5^* \neq 0$. If $h_5^* = 0$ the coefficients w_k could be arbitrary functions on (x^i, s) .

Integrating two times on variable s we find the exact solution of (8),

$$\begin{aligned}n_k &= n_{k[1]}(x^i) + n_{k[2]}(x^i) \int [h_4/(\sqrt{|h_5|})^3] ds, \quad h_5^* \neq 0; \\ &= n_{k[1]}(x^i) + n_{k[2]}(x^i) \int h_4 ds, \quad h_5^* = 0; \\ &= n_{k[1]}(x^i) + n_{k[2]}(x^i) \int [1/(\sqrt{|h_5|})^3] ds, \quad h_4^* \neq 0,\end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for some functions $n_{k[1,2]}(x^i)$ stated by boundary conditions.

We shall construct some classes of exact solutions of 5D and 4D vacuum Einstein equations describing anholonomic deformations of black hole solutions of the Reissner-Nördstrom and Schwarzschild metrics. We consider two systems of 3D space coordinates:

a) The isotropic spherical coordinates (ρ, θ, φ) , where the isotropic radial coordinate ρ is related with the usual radial coordinate r via relation $r = \rho(1 + r_g/4\rho)^2$ for $r_g = 2G_{[4]}m_0/c^2$ being the 4D gravitational radius of point particle of mass m_0 , $G_{[4]} = 1/M_{P[4]}^2$ is the 4D Newton constant expressed via Plank mass $M_{P[4]}$ which following modern string/brane theories can be considered as a value induced from extra dimensions, we shall put the light speed constant $c = 1$ (this system of coordinates is considered, for instance, for the so-called isotropic representation of the Schwarzschild solution [9]).

b) The rotation ellipsoid coordinates (in our case isotropic, in brief re-coordinates) [10] (u, v, φ) with $0 \leq u < \infty, 0 \leq v \leq \pi, 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$, where $\sigma = \cosh u = 4\rho/r_g \geq 1$ are related with the isotropic 3D Cartesian coordinates $(\tilde{x} = \sinh u \sin v \cos \varphi, \tilde{y} = \sinh u \sin v \sin \varphi, \tilde{z} = \cosh u \cos v)$ and define an elongated rotation ellipsoid hypersurface $(\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2)/(\sigma^2 - 1) + \tilde{z}^2/\sigma^2 = 1$.

By straightforward calculations we can verify that we can generate from the ansatz (2) four classes of exact solutions of the system (5)–(8):

1. The anisotropic Reissner-Nördstrom black hole solutions with polarizations on extra dimension and 3D space coordinates are parametrized by the data

$$\begin{aligned}g_2 &= \left(\frac{1 - \frac{r_g}{4\rho}}{1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{\left[\rho^2 + a\rho/(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho})^2 + b/(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho})^4 \right]}, \quad g_3 = 1; \\ h_5 &= -\frac{1}{\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho} \right)^4} \left[1 + \frac{a\sigma_m(f, \rho, \theta, \varphi)}{\rho \left(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho} \right)^2} + \frac{b\sigma_q(f, \rho, \theta, \varphi)}{\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho} \right)^4} \right], \quad h_4 = \sin^2 \theta \left[\left(\sqrt{|h_5(f, \rho, \theta, \varphi)|} \right) \right]^2 \quad (\text{see (9)}); \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where a, b are constants and $\sigma_m(f, \rho, \theta, \varphi)$ and $\sigma_q(f, \rho, \theta, \varphi)$ are called respectively mass and charge polarizations and the coordinates are $(x^i, y^a) = (f, \rho, \theta, t, \varphi)$.

2. The anisotropic Reissner-Nördstrom black hole solutions with extra dimension and time running of constants are parametrized by the data

$$g_2 = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{r_g}{4\rho}}{1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho}} \right) \frac{1}{\left[\rho^2 + a\rho/(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho})^2 + b/(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho})^4 \right]}, g_3 = 1; \quad (13)$$

$$h_4 = -\frac{1}{\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho} \right)^4} \left[1 + \frac{a\sigma_m(f, \rho, \theta, t)}{\rho \left(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho} \right)^2} + \frac{b\sigma_q(f, \rho, \theta, t)}{\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho} \right)^4} \right], h_5 = \sin^2 \theta,$$

where a, b are constants and $\sigma_m(f, \rho, \theta, \varphi)$ and $\sigma_q(f, \rho, \theta, \varphi)$ are called respectively mass and charge polarizations and the coordinates are $(x^i, y^a) = (f, \rho, \theta, \varphi, t)$.

3. The ellipsoidal Schwarzschild like black hole solutions with polarizations on extra dimension and 3D space coordinates are parametrized by the data $g_2 = g_3 = 1$ and

$$h_5 = -\frac{r_g^2}{16} \frac{\cosh^2 u}{(1 + \cosh u)^4} \left(\frac{\cosh u_m(f, u, v, \varphi) - \cosh u}{\cosh u_m(f, u, v, \varphi) + \cosh u} \right)^2, \quad h_4 = \frac{\sinh^2 u \sin^2 v}{\sinh^2 u + \sin^2 v} \left[\left(\sqrt{|h_5(f, u, v, \varphi)|} \right) \right]^2, \quad (14)$$

where $\sigma_m = \cosh u_m$ and the coordinates are $(x^i, y^a) = (f, u, v, \varphi, t)$.

4. The ellipsoidal Schwarzschild like black hole solutions with extra dimension and time running of constants are parametrized by the data $g_2 = g_3 = 1$ and

$$h_4 = -\frac{r_g^2}{16} \frac{\cosh^2 u}{(1 + \cosh u)^4} \left(\frac{\cosh u_m(f, \rho, \theta, t) - \cosh u}{\cosh u_m(f, \rho, \theta, t) + \cosh u} \right)^2, \quad h_5 = \frac{\sinh^2 u \sin^2 v}{\sinh^2 u + \sin^2 v}, \quad (15)$$

where $\sigma_m = \cosh u_m$ and the coordinates are $(x^i, y^a) = (f, u, v, t, \varphi)$.

The N-coefficients w_i and n_i for the solutions (12)–(15) are computed respectively following formulas (10) and (11) (we omit the final expressions in this paper).

The mathematical form of the solutions (12) and (13), with constants $a = -2m/M_p^2$ and $b = Q$, is very similar to that of the Reissner-Nördstrom solution from RS gravity [13], but multiplied on a conformal factor $\left(1 + \frac{r_g}{4\rho} \right)^{-4} \rho^{-2}$, with renormalized factors σ_m and σ_q and *without electric charge* being present. The induced 4D gravitational "receptivities" σ_m and σ_q in (12) emphasize dependencies on coordinates $(f, \rho, \theta, \varphi)$, where $s = \varphi$ is the anisotropic coordinate. In a similar fashion one induces running on time and the 5th coordinate, and anisotropic polarizations on ρ and θ , of constants for the solution (13).

Instead the Reissner-Nördstrom-type correction to the Schwarzschild potential the mentioned polarizations can be thought as defined by some nonlinear higher dimension gravitational interactions and anholonomic frame constraints for anisotropic Reissner-Nördstrom black hole configurations with a 'tidal charge' Q arising from the projection onto the brane of free gravitational field effects in the bulk. These effects are transmitted via the bulk Weyl tensor, off-diagonal components of the metric and by anholonomic frames. The Schwarzschild potential $\Phi = -M/(M_p^2 r)$, where M_p is the effective Planck mass on the brane, is modified to

$$\Phi = -\frac{M\sigma_m}{M_p^2 r} + \frac{Q\sigma_q}{2r^2}, \quad (16)$$

where the 'tidal charge' parameter Q may be positive or negative. The possibility to modify anisotropically the Newton law via effective anisotropic masses $M\sigma_m$, or by anisotropic effective 4D Plank constants, renormalized like σ_m/M_p^2 , was recently emphasized in Ref. [7]. In this paper we state that there are possible additional renormalizations of the "effective" electric charge, $Q\sigma_q$. For diagonal metrics we put $\sigma_m = \sigma_q = 1$ and by multiplication on corresponding conformal factors and with respect to holonomic frames we recover the locally isotropic results from Refs. [13]. We must also impose the condition that the 5D spacetime is modeled as a AdS_5 slice provided with an anholonomic frame structure.

The renormalized tidal charge $Q\sigma_q$ affects the geodesics and the gravitational potential, so that indirect limits may be placed on it by observations. Nevertheless, current observational limits on $|Q\sigma_q|$ are rather weak, since the

correction term in Eq. (16) decreases off rapidly with increasing r , and astrophysical measurements (lensing and perihelion precession) probe mostly (weak-field) solar scales.

Now we analyze the properties of solutions (14) and (15). They describe Schwarzschild like solutions with the horizon forming a rotation ellipsoid horizon. For the general relativity such solutions were constructed in Refs. [8]. Here, it should be emphasized that static anisotropic deformations of the Schwarzschild metric are described by off-diagonal metrics and corresponding conformal transforms. At large radial distances from the horizon the anisotropic configurations transform into the usual one with spherical symmetry. That why the solutions with anisotropic rotation ellipsoidal horizons do not contradict the well known Israel and Carter theorems [1] which were proved in the assumption of spherical symmetry at asymptotics. Anisotropic 4D black hole solutions follow from the data (14) and (15) if you state some polarizations depending only on 3D space coordinates (u, v, φ) , or on some of them. In this paper we show that in 5D there are warped to 4D static ellipsoidal like solutions with constants renormalized anisotropically on some 3D space coordinates and on extra dimension coordinate (in the class of solutions (14)) and running of constants on time and the 5th coordinate, with possible additional polarizations on some 3D coordinates (in the class of solutions (15)).

A geometric approach to the Randall-Sundrum scenario has been developed by Shiromizu et al. [15] (see also [16]), and proves to be a useful starting point for formulating the problem and seeing clear lines of approach. In this work we considered a variant of anholonomic RS geometry. The vacuum solutions (12)–(15) localized on the brane must satisfy the 5D equation in the Shiromizu et al. representation if in 4D some sources are considered as to be induced from extra dimension gravity.

The method of anholonomic frames covers the results on linear extensions of the Schwarzschild horizon into the bulk [17]. The solutions presented in this paper are nonlinearly induced, are based on very general method of construction exact solutions in extra dimension gravity and generalize also the Reissner-Nördstrom solution from RS gravity. The obtained solutions are locally anisotropic but, nevertheless, they possess local 4D Lorentz symmetry, which is explicitly emphasized with respect to anholonomic frames. There are possible constructions with broken Lorentz symmetry as in [18] (if we impose not a locally isotropic limit of our solutions, but an anisotropic static one). We omit such considerations here.

In conclusion we formulate a prescription for mapping 4D general relativity solutions with diagonal metrics to 4D and 5D solutions of brane world: *a general relativity vacuum solution gives rise to a vacuum brane-world solution in 5D gravity given with similar coefficients of metrics but defined with respect to some anholonomic frames and with anisotropic renormalization of fundamental constants; such type of solutions are parametrized by off-diagonal metrics if of type (2) if they are re-defined with respect to coordinate frames*.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank D. Gontsa for discussion and collaboration. S. V. is grateful to P. Stavrinou and D. Singleton for support and hospitality. The work is supported both by "The 2000–2001 California State University Legislative Award" and a NATO/Portugal fellowship grant at the Instituto Superior Tecnico, Lisbon.

-
- [1] W. Israel, Phys. Rev. **164**, 1771 (1967); see a detailed discussion in: M. Heusler, *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
 - [2] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460**, 506 (1996); *ibid* **B475**, 94 (1996).
 - [3] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 263 (1998); I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **436**, 257 (1998).
 - [4] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 and 4690 (1999).
 - [5] K. Akama, hep-th/0001113 (reproduced from 1982 article); V.A. Rubakov and M.E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **125B**, 139 (1983); M. Visser, Phys. Lett. **B159**, 22 (1985); M. Gogberashvili, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14**, 2025 (1999).
 - [6] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Ann. Phys. (NY) **141**, 316 (1982); R. Percacci and S. Randjbar-Daemi, *J. Math. Phys.* **24** 807 (1983).
 - [7] S. Vacaru, Off-diagonal 5D metrics and mass hierarchies with anisotropies and running constants, hep-ph/ 0106268.
 - [8] S. Vacaru, gr-qc/ 0001020; *JHEP* **0104** (2001) 009 *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **290**, 83 (2001); S. Vacaru, D. Singleton, V. Botan and D. Dotenco, Phys. Lett. **B 519**, 249 (2001); S. Vacaru and F. C. Popa, Class. Quant. Gravity. **18**, 4921 (2001).
 - [9] L. Landau and E. M. Lifshits, The Classical Theory of Fields, vol. 2, (Nauka, Moscow, 1988) [in russian].
 - [10] G. A. Korn and T. M. Korn, Mathematical Handbook (McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1968).
 - [11] A. Chamblin, S.W. Hawking, and H.S. Reall, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 065007.
 - [12] P. Kraus, JHEP **9912**, 011 (1999); J. Garriga and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D. **62** (2000) 043523; R. Emparan, G. T. Horowitz,

- and R. C. Myers, JHEP **0001**, 007 (2000); A. Chamblin, C. Csaki, J. Erlich, and T. J. Hollowood, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 044012.
- [13] R. Maartens, Geometry and Dynamics of the Brane-Worlds, gr-qc/0101059; N. Dadhich, R. Maartens, P. Papadopoulos and V. Rezanian, Phys. Lett. B **487**, 1 (2000); C. Germani and R. Maartens, Stars in the braneworld, hep-th/0107011.
 - [14] S.B. Giddings, E. Katz, and L. Randall, JHEP **0003** (2000) 023.
 - [15] T. Shiromizu, K. Maeda, and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D, **62** (2000) 024012 .
 - [16] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, U. Ellwanger, and D. Langlois, Phys. Lett. B **477**, 285 (2000).
 - [17] I. Giannakis and Hai-cang Ren, Phys. Rev. D, **63** (2001) 125017; **64** (2001) 065015.
 - [18] C. Csaki, J. Erlich and C. Grojean, Nucl. Phys. bf b604 (2001) 312.